REC'D 3.1 MAR 2006 PATENT COOPERATION TREATY INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT HOUSTON ELISEEVA LLP

4 MILITIA DRIVE SUITE 4 LEXINGTON, MA 02421				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
	·				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	29 MAR 2006	
Applicant	's or agent's file r	eference		FOR FURTHER		
0032.0007					See paragraph 2 below	
Internation	nal application No	.	national filing date		Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US0			ptember 2005 (29.		29 September 2004 (29.09.2004)	
		cation (IPC) or both	national classificat	ion and IPC		
	Please See Contin 433/32,89,49,52,5	uation Sheet 3,54,214,215,216,9()			
Applicant						
NGA, INC	2.					
1. This o	opinion contains i	ndications relating to	the following iten	ns: .		
\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion	n			
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishmen	of opinion with re	egard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of in	vention		·	
\boxtimes	Box No. V			s. I(a)(i) with regard to ons supporting such st	o novelty, inventive step or industrial atement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents	cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in	the international ap	oplication		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observation	ns on the internation	onal application		
2 FUR	THER ACTIO	N				
Interr Autho	national Prelimination or its other than the	ary Examining Aut his one to be the IPI	hority ("IPEA") e EA and the chosen	xcept that this does	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an le International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) ered.	
IPEA	a written reply to	gether, where appro	priate, with amen	dments, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later.	
For fi	urther options, see	Form PCT/ISA/220				
3. For fi	urther details, see	notes to Form PCT/	SA/220.		A_A	
Name and	d mailing address	of the ISA/ US	Date of compl	etion of this opinion	Authorized officer	
	Mail Stop PCT, Atta Commissioner for P	n: ISA/US	16 March 200	6 (16.03.2006)	Authorized officer (Kevin Shaver Shaver)	

Telephone No. (571) 272-4714

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

P.O. Box 1450

From the

To:

MARIA M. ELISEEVA

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/34606

Box No. I Basis of this opinion	
1 Wish and a dark among this printing has been considered and be been a	
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
the international application in the language in which it was filed	
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
a. type of material	
a sequence listing	
table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material	
on paper	
in electronic form	
c. time of filing/furnishing	
contained in the international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in electronic form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4. Additional comments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/34606

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

	•	
Novelty (N)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
,	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-4,9-11,16,21-22,26-28,30,32-34,38-39,41-42,49-51,53-55,68-74,86,88,98,100,114,115, and 117-118 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Jensen et al (USPN 6,309,625). Jensen et al disclose a method for tooth rejuvenation comprising applying to a tooth a layer of a composition comprising an aqueous solution of one or more edible acids, wherein the composition has a pH selected from a range of about 0.5 to 5 and wherein the composition does not contain peroxide. Specifically Jensen et al disclose, "the present invention relates to compositions and methods for whitening and desensitizing teeth. The present invention relates to compositions that may be applied directly to a person's teeth for shorter periods of time" (column 1, lines 7-10).

Claims 43,49-50,52,56-61,104-107,110, and 113 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Friedman (US 2002/0058231 A). Friedman discloses an apparatus for rejuvenating hard tissue comprising a housing with a capsule comprising an aqueous edible composition; a heating element for heating the acid composition; a temperature sensor; a control system; a power supply; and an applicator as described in paragraphs [0006]-[0012].

Claim 40 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Jensen et al in view of Freidman. Jensen et al disclose the invention as claimed but fails to disclose or reasonably suggest a heating element serving to raise a temperature of the composition to a desired temperature. This is taught by Freidman in paragraph [0010]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the heating unit as taught by Friedman into the applicator disclosed by Jensen et al in order to provide a means for heating the composition prior to application.

Claims 5-8,12-15,17-20,23-25,29,31,35-36,44-48,62-67,75-85,87,89,90-97,99,101-103,108-109,111-112, and 116 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method for tooth rejuvenation comprising applying to a tooth surface a layer of composition comprising an aqueous solution of one or more edible acids, wherein the composition has a pH selected from a range of about 0.5 to 5; heating the composition to a temperature no higher than 60 degrees Celsius and; removing the composition from the tooth surface. The prior art also does not disclose a method of impregnating the porous layer of hard tissue with particles having a fluidity temperature higher than a melting temperature of a hard tissue of the porous layer; and selectively heating the porous layer to a temperature higher than the melting temperature of the hard tissue.

Claims 1-118 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus a method of rejuvenating a tooth structure of hard tissue has industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/34606

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
Continuation of IPC: A61C 5/04(2006.01),19/00(2006.01),9/00(2006.01),1/14(2006.01),11/00(2006.01),5/00(2006.01),5/04(2006.01)
V.1. Reasoned Statements:
The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes)with respect to claims 5-8, 12-15, 17-20, 23-25, 29, 31, 35, 36, 40, 44-48, 62-67, 75-85, 87, 89-97, 99, 101-103, 108, 109, 111, 112, AND 116 The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 1-4, 9-11, 16, 21, 22, 26-28, 30, 32-34, 387, 39, 41, 42, 43, 49-55, 56-61, 68-74, 86, 88, 98, 100, 104-107, 110, 113-115, 117 AND 118 The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes)with respect to claims 5-8, 12-15, 17-20, 23-25, 29, 31, 35, 36, 40, 44-48, 62-67, 75-85, 87, 89, 90-97, 99, 101-103, 108-109, 111, 112 AND 116 The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 1-4, 9-11, 16, 21, 22, 26-28, 30, 32-34, 38, 39, 41-43, 49-61, 68-74, 86, 88, 98, 100, 104-107, 110, 113-115, 117 AND 118 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-118 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US05/34606

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC: A61C 5/04(2006.01),19/00(2006.01),9/00(2006.01)	01),1/14(2006.01),11/00(2006.01),5/00(2	006.01),5/04(2006.01)
USPC: 433/89,49,52,53,54,215-216,32,214,90 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both na	tional classification and IPC	
B. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed l U.S.: 433/89,49,52,53,54,215-216,32,214,90	by classification symbols)	
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included in	the fields searched
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name	e of data base and, where practicable, search	h terms used)
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category * Citation of document, with indication, where a X US 6,309,625 B1 (JENSEN et al) 30 October 2001 (49;column 8, lines 48-51;column 9, lines 40-44&49.	30.10.2001), column 2, lines 41-	Relevant to claim No. 1-4,9-11,16,21-22,26- 28,30,32-34,38-39,41- 42,49-51,53-55,68- 74,86,88,98,100,114- 115,117-118
X US 2002/0058231 A1 (FRIEDMAN) 16 May 2002 (Y A US 2002/0072030 A1 (DAVIS) 13 June 2002 (13.06		40 43,49-50,52,56-61,104- 107,110,113 40 1-118
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance.	"T" later document published after the inter- date and not in conflict with the applica principle or theory underlying the inven	tion but cited to understand the
"E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the cl considered novel or cannot be consider when the document is taken alone	
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the cl considered to involve an inventive step with one or more other such documents	when the document is combined
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	obvious to a person skilled in the art	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"&" document member of the same patent for	emily
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international searce MAR 2006	h report
Authorized officed		
Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US Commissioner of Patents	Kevin Shaver Marsh 1.	reene for
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Telephone No. (571) 272-4714	-

PCT/US05/346	አበራ	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

C. (Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDE	
- C (Continuation) IN C (IN/IN/IN/IN/IN/IN/IN/IN/IN/IN/IN/IN/IN/I	
C. COMUNICACION DOCUMENTO CONSTITE	MED IO DE KELEVANI

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim N
A	US 2004/0116511 A1 (MALIK) 17 June 2004 (17.06.2004), entire document.	1-118
		:
		1
	,	
		:
	·	
	·	